	MATTHEW MCKEOWN Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division Washington, DC 20530	
	ANGELA O'CONNELL Senior Counsel Environmental Enforcement Section	
5	United States Department of Justice 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050	
6	San Francisco, California 94105 Tel: (415) 744-6485	
7	Fax: (415) 744-6476 E-mail: angela.o'connell@usdoj.gov	
8	ROBERT WRIGHT	
9	Assistant United States Attorney	
0	Eastern District of California	
1	Attorneys for the Plaintiff United States	
12	(Additional Attorneys Listed on Following Page)	<i>:</i>
13	INHTED OT ATEC DIOTRICT COL	ID T
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CAL	
15	,	•
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and the	
17	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel.) DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME, the)	Civil Action No.
18	CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY) CONTROL BOARD, SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION,)	
19	the CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD	COMPLAINT
20	LAHONTÀN REGION)	
21	Plaintiff,	
22	v.)	
23	KINDER MORGAN ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.) and SFPP, L.P.)	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	EDWIN G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California
2	
3	ANITA E. RUUD Deputy Attorney General
	Office of the California Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
5	San Francisco, California 94102 Tel: (415) 703-5533
6	Fax: (415) 703-5480
O	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Regional Water
7 8	Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region and California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region
9	KATHERINE VERRUE-SLATER
10	Staff Counsel III California Department of Fish and Game
11	Office of Spill Prevention and Response 1700 K Street, Suite 250
12	Sacramento, California 95814 Tel: (916) 324-9813 Fax: (916) 324-5662
13	
14	STEPHEN SAWYER Assistant Chief Counsel
15	California Department of Fish and Game Office of Spill Prevention and Response
16	1700 K Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95814
17	Tel: (916) 324-9812 Fax: (916) 324-5662
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff California
19	Department of Fish and Game
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 2

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action brought pursuant to the Clean Water Act ("CWA"),
33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq., the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 2701 et seq;
Sections 9(a)(1) and 11(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act ("ESA"), 16 U.S.C. §§ 1538(a)(1),
1540(a)(1); the Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act, California
Government Code §§ 8670.1 et seq., the California Fish and Game Code, and the Porter Cologne
Water Quality Control Act, California Water Code §§ 13000 et seq. Plaintiffs seek civil
penalties, injunctive relief, response costs and -natural resource damages as a result of the
violations alleged herein

II. JURISDICTION, VENUE AND NOTICE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, 1355 and 1395(a); Sections 309(b) and 311(b)(7)(E) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(b) and 1321(b)(7)(E); and Section 1017(b) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. §2717(b); Sections 11(c) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1540(c) Authority to bring this action is vested in the United States Department of Justice by 28 U.S.C. §§ 516 and 519, and 33 U.S.C. § 1366.
- 3. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the State's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because the State's claims are so related to the United States' claims that they form part of the same case or controversy. This Court also has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the State's OPA claim under 33 U.S.C. § 2717(b).

Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 3

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

25

26

27

28

Venue is proper in the Eastern District of California pursuant to 4. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1395(a); Sections 309(b) and 311(b)(7)(E) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(b) and 1321(b)(7)(E), inasmuch as it is the judicial district in which the Defendants do business and in which a substantial portion of the activities alleged herein occurred.

III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff United States is a sovereign nation.
- 6. Plaintiff California Department of Fish and Game ("CDFG"), is the trustee, on behalf of the people of the State of California, for fish, wildlife and their habitat, and is responsible for the enforcement of the California Fish and Game Code. The CDFG has been designated as a State Trustee for natural resources pursuant to 1006 (b)(3) of OPA and subpart G of the National Contingency Plan. The CDFG's Office of Spill Prevention and Response is also responsible for the enforcement of the Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act (Government Code Section 8670.1 et seq.). The CDFG seeks civil penalties pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.1 and California Government Code Section 8670.66(a)(3). Additionally, the CDFG seeks response costs and natural resource damages pursuant to Section 1002 of OPA, California Government Code Section 8670.56.5 (h), and California Fish and Game Code Sections 2014, 5655, 12015 and 12016. Plaintiffs California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, and California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region ("Regional Boards") are California state agencies responsible for the water quality of the waters of California, and responsible for the enforcement of the Porter-Cologne Water Pollution Control Act, Water Code Sections 13000 et seq. The Regional Boards seek penalties for damage to the waters of California pursuant to California Water Code Section 13350.
 - 7. Defendant Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. ("KMEP") is a limited partnership with its principal place of business in Delaware. Defendant SFPP, L.P., ("SFPP") is organized under Delaware law and is an operating limited partnership of KMEP.

IV. FACTS GIVING RISE TO LIABILITY

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 4

- 8. Defendants operate underground oil pipelines and other onshore facilities throughout the United States, including the state of California. One underground pipeline operated by SFPP is the Line Section 12, which is partially located in Solano County, California.
- 9. On or about April 27, 2004, approximately 2,947 barrels of diesel fuel discharged from the Defendants' Line Section 12 pipeline into the Suisun Marsh and adjoining shorelines, in Solano County, California (the "Suisun Discharge"). The diesel fuel was an "oil" and a "pollutant" within the meaning of the Clean Water Act.
- 10. The Suisun Discharge was not authorized under the Clean Water Act, or any other federal, state, or local government law, regulation, or ordinance. The discharge of oil occurred as a result of the conduct, acts and omissions of Defendants, and each of them, and their employees, agents or other individuals while acting within the course and scope of their employment for such Defendants.
- 11. The Suisun Marsh is a navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7) The waters of Suisun Marsh are waters of the state as defined in California Water Code Section 13050(e).
- 12. The Suisun Discharge was a discharge of oil in a "quantity as may be harmful" within the meaning of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(2) and 40 C.F.R. § 110.5.
- 13. The oil from the Suisun Discharge caused injuries to natural resources in the Suisun Marsh, including injuries to salt marsh habitat and associated wildlife, including shorebirds and Salt Marsh Harvest Mice. Salt Marsh Harvest Mice are listed as an endangered species under the ESA and the California Endangered Species Act, California Fish and Game Code §§ 2050, et seq., and at 50 C.F.R. § 17.11(h).
- 14. The Defendants owned or operated the Line Section 12 pipeline at the time of said discharge of oil.
- 15. The Line Section 12 pipeline is an "onshore facility" within the meaning of the 311(a)(10) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(10), and Section 1001(24) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(24)

- 16. On or about February 7, 2005, approximately 1,831 barrels of oil discharged from the Defendants' Line Section 42 pipeline, reaching the Oakland Inner Harbor, near Oakland, in Alameda County, California (the "Oakland Discharge").
- 17. The Oakland Inner Harbor is a navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7) The waters of Oakland Inner Harbor are waters of the state as defined in California Water Code section 13050(e).
- 18. The Oakland Discharge was a discharge of oil in a "quantity as may be harmful" within the meaning of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(2) and 40 C.F.R. Part 110.
- 19. The Defendants owned or operated the Line Section 42 pipeline at the time of said discharge of oil.
- 20. The Line Section 42 pipeline is an "onshore facility" within the meaning of the 311(a)(10) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(10), and Section 1001(24) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(24).
- 21. On or about April 1, 2005, approximately 300 gallons of oil discharged from Defendants' Line Section 12 pipeline into Summit Creek and other waters of the United States in the Donner Lake watershed and adjoining shorelines, near Truckee, in Placer County, California (the "Donner Discharge"). The oil was an "oil" and a "pollutant" within the meaning of the Clean Water Act.
- 22. Summit Creek is a navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 162(7). The waters of Summit Creek are waters of the state as defined in California Water Code section 13050(e).
- 23. The Donner Discharge caused injuries to natural resources in and along Summit Creek, including oiling of rocky stream habitat and associated benthic organisms.
- 24. The Donner Discharge was a discharge of oil in a "quantity as may be harmful" within the meaning of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(2) and 40 C.F.R. Part 110.
- 25. The Defendants owned or operated the Line Section 12 pipeline at the time of the Donner Discharge.

1	
1	26. The Line Section 12 pipeline is an "onshore facility" within the meaning of the
2	311(a)(10) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(10), and Section 1001(24) of OPA, 33 U.S.C.
3	§ 2701(24).
4	V. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>
5	First Cause of Action: Civil Penalties Under the CWA
6	27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
7	28. Defendants' discharges of oil as alleged herein violates Section 311(b)(3) of the
9	CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a) and 1321(b)(3), and pursuant to Section 311(b)(7)(A) of the CWA,
10	33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(7)(A), subject Defendants to a civil penalty of up to \$1,100 per barrel of oil
11	discharged.
12	Second Cause of Action: Injunctive Relief Under the CWA
13	29. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
14	30. Defendants' discharges of oil as alleged herein violates Section 301(a) of the
15	CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), , and subjects Defendants to injunctive relief pursuant to Section
16	309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b). Accordingly, pursuant to Section 309(b) of the CWA,
17	33 U.S.C. § 1319(b), the United States, acting on behalf of EPA, is entitled to appropriate
18	injunctive relief.
19 20	Third Cause of Action: Endangered Species Act Penalties
21	31. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
22	32. Defendants' Suisun Discharge of oil as alleged herein resulted in the "take" of a
23	species in violation of Section 9(a)(1) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1538(a)(1), and subjects
23 24	Defendants to a civil penalty pursuant to Section 11(a) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(a).
25	Fourth Cause of Action: Natural Resource Damages under OPA
26	33. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
27	
28	
	Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 7

"Oil" as defined in section 1001(23) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(23), was discharged during the Suisun Discharge into the Suisun Marsh and adjoining shorelines, in "Natural resources," as that term is defined in section 1001(20) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2701(20), held in trust by the state and federal trustees, have been injured, destroyed, or lost as the result of the discharge of oil from the Defendants' Line 12 pipeline into navigable waters, Under section 1002 of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2702, Defendants are responsible for the pipeline from which oil was discharged into navigable waters or adjoining shorelines and are liable for damages caused, thereby including but not limited to damages for injury to, destruction of, loss of, or loss of use of natural resources and the reasonable costs of assessing the damages. Damages under Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Oil Spill Prevention and Response Act - Government Code Section 8670.56.5(h) Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth The waters of the Suisun Marsh and Oakland Inner Harbor are "marine waters," as The petroleum product discharged in the Suisun Discharge and the Oakland Discharge was "oil" as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(n). The Suisun Discharge releasing over approximately 2,947 barrels of oil into the Suisun Marsh constitutes a "spill" or "discharge" of oil as these terms are defined in California The unauthorized spill or discharge from the Defendants' Line Section 42 41. pipeline, which released approximately 1,831 barrels of oil and resulted in greater than 1 barrel entering Oakland Inner Harbor, constitutes a "spill" or "discharge" of oil as these terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(aa).

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 8

24

26

- 42. The Line Section 12 pipeline and the Line Section 42 pipeline are "marine facilities" as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(g)(1).
- 43. The Defendants are each a "responsible party" as defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(w).
- 44. The Suisun Discharge constitutes a "discharge of oil into or onto marine waters" as those terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(i), (n) and (aa).
- 45. The Oakland Discharge constitutes a "discharge of oil into or onto marine waters" as those terms are defined in California Government Code Section 8670.3(i), (n) and (aa).
- 46. The State has incurred costs and damages, including damages for injuries to the natural resources under its trusteeship, as a result of the discharge or leaking of oil into or onto marine waters.
- 47. Defendants and each of them are strictly liable under California Government Code Section 8670.56.5(f) and (h) without regard to fault for all damages resulting from the Suisun Discharge and the Oakland Discharge, including, but not limited to: damages for injury to, destruction of, loss of, or loss of use and enjoyment of natural resources; injury to real or personal property; loss of taxes; response costs and costs of assessing natural resource damages; and for attorneys fees, costs of suit and expert witnesses.

Sixth Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code Section 2014

- 48. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 49. Plaintiff CDFG is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief alleges that the oil discharged by Defendants and each of them has caused and will continue to cause the taking and destruction of birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, or amphibian protected by the laws of the State of California, within the meaning of California Fish and Game Code Section 2014.
 - 50. Defendants' discharges of oil are unlawful in that:

- a. Oil is a substance or material deleterious to fish, plant life, or bird life and was deposited in, permitted to pass into, or placed where it could pass into waters of the state, a violation of California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.
- b. By virtue of the acts alleged above, plaintiff CDFG is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief alleges that Defendants and each of them unlawfully or negligently discharged oil that proximately caused, and will continue to cause, the taking or destruction of birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, or amphibian protected by the laws of the State of California.
- 51. Defendants and each of them are jointly and severally liable under California Fish and Game Code § 2014 for all detriment proximately caused by the taking or destruction of birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, or amphibian protected by the laws of the State of California.

Seventh Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code Section 12015

- 52. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 53. By virtue of the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge, Defendants and each of them are responsible for polluting, contaminating, or obstructing waters of the State or depositing or discharging materials threatening to pollute, contaminate, or obstruct waters of the State, to the detriment of fish, plant, bird, or animal life in those waters.
- 54. Defendants and each of them are responsible for removing the materials placed in waters of the State and removing the materials threatening to pollute, contaminate, or obstruct waters of the State, or for paying the costs of removal incurred by CDFG.
- 55. CDFG has incurred costs in connection with the removal of the materials placed in waters of the State by Defendants.
- 56. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 12015 to the CDFG for all reasonable costs incurred in removal of materials.

Eighth Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code Section 12016

- 57. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 58. By virtue of Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge, Defendants and each of them discharged or deposited oil into the waters of the State.
- 59. Oil is a substance or material deleterious to fish, plant, bird, or animal life or their habitat within the meaning of California Fish and Game Code Section 12016(a).
- 60. Plaintiff CDFG is informed and believes, and based on such information and belief alleges that the petroleum for which Defendants and each of them is responsible has caused damage to fish, plant, bird, or animal life and their habitats.
- 61. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 12016 to the CDFG for all actual damages to fish, plant, bird, or animal life and/or their habitat.
- 62. Defendants and each of them are liable under California Fish and Game Code Section 12016 to the CDFG for all reasonable costs incurred in cleaning up the oil or abating its effects.

Ninth Cause of Action: Damages under California Fish and Game Code 5655

- 63. Plaintiff CDFG refers to and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every foregoing paragraph of this complaint.
- 64. The oil discharged from the Suisun Discharge, the Oakland Discharge and the Donner Discharge was "petroleum" or a "petroleum product" as defined in California Fish and Game Code Section 5655(d).
- 65. By virtue of the acts alleged above, Defendants and each of them discharged or deposited petroleum and/or a petroleum product into the waters of the State.
- 66. Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 5655, the CDFG may clean up or abate or cause to be cleaned up or abated, the effects of any petroleum or petroleum product

Complaint, <u>U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al.</u> - 11

Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 13

8670.66(a)(3);

1	c. Civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each act of depositing or placing		
2	petroleum or petroleum product where it can pass into the waters of the State as alleged in the		
3	Complaint in violation of California Fish and Game Code Section 5650.1;		
4	d. Civil penalties of up to\$25,000 for each knowing violation of Section		
5	309(a) of the ESA,16 U.S.C. § 1538(a);		
6	e. Civil liabilities for the spills alleged in the complaint in violation of		
7	California Water Code Section 13350(a)(3);		
8	f. Damages, including but not limited to damages for injury to, destruction		
9	of, loss of, or loss of use of natural resources, and costs of assessing natural resource damages;		
10	g. Costs including response, containment, cleanup, removal, treatment,		
11	monitoring, administration costs, and for attorneys fees, costs of suit and expert witnesses;		
12	h. Such injunctive relief pursuant to Section 309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C.		
13	§ 1319(b), as may be necessary to prevent future CWA violations; and		
14	i. Such other relief as the United States and the State may be entitled.		
15			
16	Respectfully submitted,		
17	For PLAINTIFF, United States:		
18			
19	MATTHEW MCVEOUN		
20	Acting Assistant Attorney General		
21	Environment and Natural Resources Division		
22			
23			
24	ANGELA O'CONNELL Senior Counsel		
25	Environment and Natural Resources Division		
26	Environmental Enforcement Section United States Department of Justice		
27	301 Howard St. Suite 1005 San Francisco, California 94105		
28	(415) 744-6485		

For PLAINTIFF, California Department of Fish and Game: STEPHEN L. SAWYER Assistant Chief Counsel California Department of Fish and Game For PLAINTIFFS, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board and Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board: ANITA E. RUUD Deputy Attorney General California Office of the Attorney General Complaint, U.S. et al. v. KMEP LP et al. - 15